## In the Drawings:

Please enter the Replacement Sheet for Sheet 1 which contains FIG. 1.

## **REMARKS**

The Examiner rejected claims 1-3, 5-11, 13-16 and 18-20 under 35 U.S.C. §103(a) as allegedly being unpatentable over Yamamoto *et al.* (6,628,890), in further view of Thomason *et al.* (6,018,612), and in further view of Burt *et al.* (3,668,308).

Applicants respectfully traverse the §103 rejections with the following arguments.

## 35 U.S.C. §103(a)

09/961,020

The Examiner rejected claims 1-3, 5-11, 13-16 and 18-20 under 35 U.S.C. §103(a) as allegedly being unpatentable over Yamamoto *et al.* (6,628,890), in further view of Thomason *et al.* (6,018,612), and in further view of Burt *et al.* (3,668,308).

Applicants' amendment herein includes the limitations of canceled claims 6, 13, and 19 into independent claims 1, 9, and 15, respectively. Therefore, Applicants' arguments for claims 1, 9, and 15 will address the Examiner's arguments pertaining to the rejection of claims 6, 13, and 15, respectively.

Applicants respectfully contend that claims 1, 9, and 15 are not unpatentable over Yamamoto in further view of Thomason and in further view of Burt, because Yamamoto in further view of Thomason and in further view of Burt does not teach or suggest each and every feature of claims 1, 9, and 15.

As an example of why Yamamoto in further view of Thomason and in further view of Burt does not teach or suggest each and every feature of claims 1, 9, and 15, Yamamoto in further view of Thomason and in further view of Burt does not teach or suggest the features: "switching means for switching between transmitting the compressed audio/video data extracted by the data separating means to the data reproducing means and transmitting the second compressed data from the reproduction control means to the data reproducing means, said switching means being disposed between the data separating means and the data reproducing means, said switching means being disposed between the reproduction control means and the data reproducing means".

The Examiner argues that in Yamamoto, FIG. 1: the claimed data separating means is the

13

demultiplexor 3; the claimed reproduction control means is the navigation control block 22; and the claimed data reproducing means is the A/V decoder 24.

In response, Applicants contend that the preceding alleged data separating means (3), reproduction control means (22), and data reproducing means (24) in Yamamoto, in combination with what the Examiner alleges to be the claimed switching means in Yamamoto, does not disclose the preceding features of claims 1, 9, and 15.

The Examiner offers the following argument as to how Yamamoto discloses the claimed switching means: "(Col 5, lines 28-31 "The user interface control block 25 receives a playback command for normal play or trick play, entered by a user, and outputs the entered playback command for normal play or trick play to the navigation control block 22") and transmitting the second compressed data from the reproduction control means to the data reproducing means (Fig. 1, item 22 "navigation control block")."

In response, Applicants respectfully contend that the alleged switching means in Yamamoto, as argued by the Examiner, does not satisfy the limitation of: switching between transmitting the compressed audio/video data extracted by the demultiplexor 3 to the A/V decoder 24 and transmitting the second compressed data from the navigation control block 22 to the A/V decoder 24. The preceding first point argued by the Examiner involves only data flow between the user interface control block 25 and the navigation control block 22. The preceding second point argued by the Examiner involves only data flow from the reproduction control means 22 to the data reproducing means 24.

In further response, Applicants respectfully contend that the alleged switching means in Yamamoto, as argued by the Examiner, does not satisfy the limitation of: said switching means

being disposed between the demultiplexor 3 and the A/V decoder 24. What the Examiner has argued to represent the claimed switching means is not disclosed in Yamamoto as being disposed between the demultiplexor 3 and the A/V decoder 24.

In yet further response, Applicants respectfully contend that the alleged switching means in Yamamoto, as argued by the Examiner, does not satisfy the limitation of: said switching means being disposed between the navigation control block 22 and the A/V decoder 24. What the Examiner has argued to represent the claimed switching means is not disclosed in Yamamoto as being between the navigation control block 22 and the A/V decoder 24. In fact, there is no means disposed between the navigation control block 22 and the A/V decoder 24 in Yamamoto, FIG. 1.

Based on the preceding arguments, Applicants respectfully maintain that claims 1, 9, and 15 are not unpatentable over Yamamoto, in further view of Thomason and in further view of Burt, and that claims 1, 9, and 15 are in condition for allowance. Since claims 2, 3 and 5-8 depend from claim 1, Applicants contend that claims 2, 3 and 5-8 are likewise in condition for allowance. Since claims 10, 11, 13 and 14 depend from claim 9, Applicants contend that claims 10, 11, 13 and 14 are likewise in condition for allowance. Since claims 16 and 18-20 depend from claim 15, Applicants contend that claims 16 and 18-20 are likewise in condition for allowance.

In addition, Applicants' arguments in Applicants' office action response mailed 12/19/2005 are incorporated herein by reference.

## **CONCLUSION**

Based on the preceding arguments, Applicants respectfully believe that all pending claims and the entire application meet the acceptance criteria for allowance and therefore request favorable action. If the Examiner believes that anything further would be helpful to place the application in better condition for allowance, Applicants invites the Examiner to contact Applicants' representative at the telephone number listed below. The Director is hereby authorized to charge and/or credit Deposit Account No. 09-0457.

Date: 05/08/2006

Jack P. Friedman

Registration No. 44,688

Schmeiser, Olsen & Watts 22 Century Hill Drive - Suite 302 Latham, New York 12110 (518) 220-1850